

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,576	07/18/2003	Cheol-Joon Yoo	2557-000157/US	2118
30593	7590 08/09/2004		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			SMOOT, STEPHEN W	
P.O. BOX 891 RESTON, VA	-		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, VI	20173		2813	
DA		DATE MAILED: 08/09/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	40
	10/621,576	YOO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen W. Smoot	2813	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communic NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>18 July 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	•		ts is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exact 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 July 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a) \square accepted or b) \square objection of the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been rureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	:
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Immary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-18-03. 	-/	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -·	

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to application papers filed on 18 July 2003.

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
In paragraph [0003], line 9, change "from" to --form-- to correct spelling; and
In paragraph [0031], line 4, change "203" to --204-- because the wafer is
designated as reference number 204 (see Fig. 7 and paragraph [0030], first sentence).
Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informality:

In claim 1, line 7, change "portions" to --portion-- because each chip has one corresponding protective tape portion (see claim 1, lines 9-10).

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,576 Page 3

Art Unit: 2813

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the final thickness" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is noted that claim 2 has antecedence for a final thickness (see lines 3-4), but claim 10 does not depend therefrom.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, because it depends on claim 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Application/Control Number: 10/621,576

Art Unit: 2813

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garrett, Sr. et al. (US 4,285,433).

Referring to Figs. 1, 2 and column 3, line 64 to column 4, line 48, Garrett, Sr. et al. disclose an apparatus for removing semiconductor dice (16) from a bi-layer of tape (12, 14). The apparatus includes an adhesive tape (18) that is pulled across a surface (20) to convey a diced wafer (10) that is positioned thereon. The dice (16) are affixed to two layers of tape (12, 14) with one layer (12) adhered to the dice (16) and the other layer (14) adhered to the adhesive tape (18). The tape layers (12, 14, 18) are pulled over an edge (24) and through a slot (38), which causes the dice (16) to separate from the one layer of tape (12) because the other layer (14) and the adhesive layer (18) have greater adhesive strengths than the one layer (12). These are all of the limitations set forth in claim 14 of the applicant's invention.

Regarding claim 15, the adhesive tape (18) is pulled from a supply roll (22) across the surface (20), over the edge (24) and onto a take-up reel (26).

Regarding claim 16, the adhesive tape (18) is pulled with a crank (32) that is used to turn the take-up reel (26). The take-up reel (26) performs the functions of both a guide roller and a pressure roller.

Regarding claim 17, the entire diced wafer (10) is mounted on the adhesive tape (18).

7. Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Farnworth et al. (US 6,202,292 B1).

Referring to Figs. 1a, 1b and column 5, line 7 to column 6, line 7, Farnworth et al. disclose a frame (106) for supporting a carrier tape (104) that has a diced wafer (101) comprising singulated die (102a, 102b, etc.) mounted thereon. The frame (106) is connected to a base (110) of an apparatus (100). The apparatus (100) also includes a screen (112) positioned over a plate member (120) and a vacuum source (114) connected to the base (110) beneath the plate member (120). The vacuum source (114) is activated to provide suction through the screen (112), which causes the carrier tape (104) to pull away from the singulated die (102a, 102b, etc.). These are all of the limitations set forth in claims 19-21 of the applicant's invention.

8. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kawakami (US 2003/0190795 A1).

Referring to Figs. 3-11, Kawakami discloses an embodiment of a packaging method for mounting semiconductor chips on a wiring substrate with the following features:

Application/Control Number: 10/621,576 Page 6

Art Unit: 2813

 A transparent protective tape (15) with a thickness of about 100 µm is affixed to the main surface of a semiconductor wafer (3a) as shown in Fig. 3 (also see paragraph [0051]);

- The main surface of the wafer (3a) is patterned into rectangular chip portions and includes dicing (i.e. scribe) lines (also see paragraph [0049]);
- Then the backside of the wafer (3a) undergoes a grinding process to reduce the wafer thickness to 100 μm as indicated by the dotted line in Fig. 3 (also see paragraph [0054]);
- Then the back side of the wafer (3a) is affixed to dicing tape (16) which is supported by an outer frame (17) as shown in Fig. 4 and a dicing blade (18) is used to saw the wafer (3a) into individual chips (3) as shown in Fig. 5 (also see paragraphs [0055] to [0058]);
- Then the chips (3) are transported to the wiring substrate (2a) and bonded to chip fixing portions (i.e. chip pads) of the wiring substrate (2a) while portions of the protective tape (15) remain on the main surface of the chips as shown in Fig. 7 (also see paragraphs [0059] to [0063]);
- Then the protective tape (15) is removed by a variety of peeling means that can
 include using uv radiation to weaken the bonding force of the tape prior to the
 peeling step (also see paragraphs [0066] and [0074]); and
- Bump electrodes (6) are formed on the bottom surface of the wiring substrate (2 in Fig. 1) (also see paragraphs [0045] and [0078]).

These are all of the limitations set forth in claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-11 of the applicant's invention.

Regarding claim 12 and the apparatus claims 19-22, one of the peeling means for removing the protective tape (15), as shown in Fig. 9(b), uses a vacuum suction jig (36) by placing nozzles (35) in contact with the protective tape (15), applying vacuum (37) to hold the tape (15), and moving the jig (36) away from wiring substrate (2a) (also see paragraph [0071] to [0072]).

Regarding claim 13 and the apparatus claims 14-18, another of the peeling means for removing the protective tape (15), as shown in Fig. 9(a), applies an adhesive tape (32) to the protective tape (15) corresponding to a chip (3), bonding the adhesive tape (32) to the protective tape (15), and separating the adhesive tape (32) from the chip (3) resulting in peeling the protective tape (15) from the chip (3) (also see paragraphs [0067] to [0070]). The apparatus includes a tape unwind reel (30), a tape take-up reel (31), and a movable roller (33) to push the adhesive tape (32) against the protective tape.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,576

Art Unit: 2813

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 8

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-6, 8-11, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (US 6,297,131 B1) in view of Huang et al. (US 6,650,006 B2).

Referring to Figs. 8-13 and column 5, line 20 to column 8, line 6, Yamada et al. disclose a method for thinning and dicing a wafer that includes the following features:

- A protective tape (32) is applied to the front surface (i.e. the active circuit side) of
 a semiconductor wafer (30) and the opposite side of the protective tape is then
 mounted on a layer of dicing tape (36) that is supported by a frame (34) as
 shown in Fig. 8;
- The protective tape is a 150 µm thick layer of polyethylene with about 30 to 40 µm of UV curable pressure sensitive adhesive in direct contact with the wafer (30);
- The back side of the wafer is then thinned with grinding tool (38) to a thickness of less than 200 μm and as thin as 50 μm as shown in Fig. 9;

Art Unit: 2813

• The wafer (30) is then diced along scribe lines into a plurality of semiconductor chips (30A) using a dicing saw (42) as shown in Fig. 11;

- The UV curable pressure sensitive adhesive is then cured by transmitting UV light through the dicing tape (36) and the protective tape (32) to the front surface of the chips (30A) as shown in Fig. 12 resulting in a reduction of adhesive force between the protective tape (32) and the chips (30A);
- The fact that UV light transmits through both the dicing tape (36) and the
 protective tape (32) implies that both tapes are transparent to visible light; and
- The chips (30A) are individually separated from the protective tape (32) by pushing a pin (46A) against the back surface of the dicing tape (36) to bend the protective tape (32) directly under one chip (30A) while using a vacuum pickup (44) to pull the one chip (30A) away from the protective tape (32) as shown in Fig.13.

These are limitations set forth in claims 1-3, 6, 8-11, 13 of the applicant's invention.

However, Yamada et al. lack the step of attaching an individual chip to a chip pad, which is a limitation of applicant's claim 1. More specifically, Yamada et al. do not teach or suggest providing the chip pad on a lead frame with outer leads for making external connections (the limitations of claim 4), nor do they teach or suggest providing the chip pad on a substrate with solder balls for making external connections (the limitations of claim 5).

Huang et al. teach that chips can be mounted on a chip carrier, which can be a die pad of a conventional lead frame comprising corresponding leads for electrically

connecting the chip to external devices or, which can be a substrate with solder balls for electrically connecting the chip to external devices (see column 3, line 54 to column 4, line 11).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Yamada et al. and Huang et al. in order to attach the separated chip of Yamada et al. to a chip carrier as taught by Huang et al. Huang et al. recognize that lead frames and substrates with solder ball connections are known in the art as conventional ways of electrically connecting semiconductor chips to external devices (see column 3, line 66 to column 4, line 8).

11. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (US 6,297,131 B1) and Huang et al. (US 6,650,006 B2) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Oka (US 2002/0048904 A1).

As shown above, the combination of Yamada et al. and Huang et al. has all of the limitations set forth in claim 1 of the applicant's invention. However, this combination lacks the further limitation to claim 1 set forth in claim 7 of the applicant's invention, which is to heat the protective tape above a degradation temperature. Oka teaches that a protective tape using thermoplastic resin as an adhesive can be peeled off from a wafer after heating the resin to lower its adhesiveness (see paragraph [0039]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined teachings of Yamada et al. and

Application/Control Number: 10/621,576 Page 11

Art Unit: 2813

Huang et al. by using a protective tape with a thermoplastic resin adhesive, as taught by Oka, in order to release the protective tape from the chips of Yamada et al. by heating. Oka recognizes that applying heat to thermoplastic resin adhesive to lower the adhesiveness of protective tape has the equivalent effect of applying UV light to a UV curable adhesive (see paragraph [0039]).

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Clifton et al. and Sugino et al. teach methods that feature separating semiconductor dice from tape. Madge teaches a method of thinning individual semiconductor die.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen W. Smoot whose telephone number is 571-272-1698. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am to 4:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,576 Page 12

Art Unit: 2813

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SWS

Stephen W. Smoot Patent Examiner Art Unit 2813